

# Reduction of Nauplii Size in an Allochthonous Artemia Strain through Selective Breeding

PA Vikas<sup>1\*</sup>, NK Sajeshkumar<sup>2</sup>, PC Thomas<sup>2</sup>, Kajal Chakraborty<sup>2</sup>, J Jayasankar<sup>2</sup>, KK Vijayan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Marine Biotechnology Division, Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Kochi, Kerala, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Marine Biotechnology, Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Cochin, Kerala, India

\*Corresponding author: PA Vikas, Marine Biotechnology Division, Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Kochi, Kerala, India; Tel: +91 9447993980, E-mail: vikaspattath@gmail.com

Received date: May 17, 2021; Accepted date: September 03, 2021; Published date: September 13, 2021

Citation: Vikas PA (2021) Reduction of Nauplii Size in an Allochthonous Artemia Strain through Selective Breeding. J fisheriesci.com Vol.15 No.04.

## Abstract

The present study was aimed to develop an Artemia strain producing small nauplii through selective breeding. Fifteen generations of mass selection was carried out for the reduction of nauplii size in the indigenous Artemia, which was identified to be Artemia franciscana naturalized in the Indian salinas. Mean values of life history traits, heritability of nauplii size, selection differentials, standardized selection differential, and predicted and realized selection responses were estimated from the full sib data. The selection response realized from fifteen generation of selection was 14.9 per cent reduction in nauplii length (from  $517.0 \pm 39.8 \mu\text{m}$  to  $439.3 \pm 27.0 \mu\text{m}$ ). Five per cent reduction in cyst size (from  $224.83 \pm 14.81 \mu\text{m}$  to  $212.5 \pm 9.5 \mu\text{m}$ ) was realized as correlated response. Concurrently with the reduction in nauplii and cyst size, significant increase in cyst hatching percentage (10%) was also realized as correlated gain (from 54.4% to 64.58). Heritability estimates ( $h^2$ ) were found to be generally very high. Heritability estimate for the first day nauplii length, pooled for the fifteen generations was  $0.94 \pm 0.27$ . The reduction gained in nauplii length selection indicates the efficiency of selection. Since nauplii/cyst size and hatching efficiency along with the nutrient profile are the prime indicators that determine the suitability of Artemia as larval feed, the selectively bred Artemia of the present study make it a promising strain for larviculture activities.

**Keywords:** Artemia; Cyst size, hatching percentage; heritability; selective breeding; small nauplii.

## Introduction

Aquaculture continues to grow more rapidly than all other animal food production sectors in the world. Production from aquaculture has outpaced population growth, with the annual average per capita supply from aquaculture increasing at the rate of 7.1 per cent (FAO 2010). Success of any aquaculture venture principally depends on the timely availability of suitable larvae and fingerlings of cultivable size in the required numbers and this can only be provided by a hatchery based larval production system (Vikas et al 2012.. In hatcheries, live feeds play a key role in deciding the survival, growth and development

of the larvae (Vikas et al 2016, Sorgeloos et al., 2001). Live feeds can stimulate the feeding and improve the digestive process of the larvae than the artificial micro diets through its prey-predator interactions and exogenous digestive enzymes that aid in digestion (Kamarudin et al., 1994; Baskerville-Bridges & Kling, 2000). Since larvae cannot biosynthesize the essential polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) namely 20:5n3 and 22:6n3 from its precursors, it has to be supplied through external diets especially live feeds (Vikas et al 2016a & 2016. Sargent et al., 2002).

Among the different live feeds, Artemia are widely used as the starter diet in larviculture, mostly because of its on-demand hatching ability, soft texture, motility and nutrient content. Although all stages of Artemia are suitable diet for diversified group of finfish and shellfish larvae, nauplii stage is considered as the most preferred larval diet. Hatching efficiency, nauplii size and nutrient profile are the foremost indicators that determine the suitability of the Artemia for larviculture. The nauplii size is the most important factor in larviculture. This is because most of the finfish larvae are small with narrow buccal openings, and therefore, exogenous foods of small size are needed one or two days after hatching by which time vitellinic reserves are depleted (de Barros and Valenti, 2003). Selection of the diet by the larvae depends to a great extent on the prey size rather than its nutritional quality. Pepin and Penney (1997) observed a positive correlation between the mouth widths of the larvae with the prey size. Live feed- larvae interactions have been studied in many fish species (Olsen et al., 1999). According to Munk (1992), the prey size preferred by cod larvae is about 5% of its length. Fernandez-Diaz. et al., (1994) reported that the preferred size of live and inert prey for gilthead sea bream larvae is a function of mouth size in the range of 0.1-0.8 times the mouth width. Marine larvae switch to bigger prey slower than their physical capacity to ingest larger prey (Pepin and Penney, 1997). In this scenario there is a need for reducing the Artemia nauplii.

Heritability of the trait has an important role in the genetic gain realized from selective breeding since it determines the proportion of the selection differential that can be transferred to the progenies. Heritability is the proportion of the variance in a trait among individuals that is attributable to the differences in genotype. It in the narrow sense is the ratio of additive genetic variance to phenotypic variance. Heritability is a concept that

summarizes how much of the variation in a trait is due to variation in genetic factors (Lush 1940). Frequently, heritability is used in reference to the resemblance between parents and their offspring. In this context, high heritability implies a strong resemblance between parents and offspring with regard to a specific trait, while low heritability implies a low level of resemblance (Wray, N. & Visscher, P. (2008). Lush (1940) has used the intra-sire correlations or regressions of offspring on dam as a method of estimating heritability. Falconer (1960) defined heritability as the regression of breeding value on phenotypic value ( $h^2 = b_{GP}$ ), which is equivalent to the square of correlation between breeding values and phenotypic values ( $h^2 = r^2_{GP}$ ).

Information on the selective breeding studies in *Artemia* is scanty. However, heritability estimates of hatching percentage, cyst size, growth rate, thermal stability and heat resistance have been reported, and these reports have indicated the superiority of genotypic influence over the phenotypic control on these traits (Tackaert et al., 1987; Clegg et al., 2000; Clegg & Trotman 2002; Briski et al., 2008). Half-sib analysis of the naupliar length data of *Artemia franciscana* by Shirdhankar & Thomas (2003) have shown heritability values of moderate magnitude. Selective breeding in *Artemia franciscana* for reduction of the naupliar length by Shirdhankar & Thomas (2003) have shown that it is very much amenable to selection as the genetic gain realized was substantial. Clegg et al, (2000, 2002) reported that the changes in thermal stability and heat resistance may occur extremely rapidly using genetic selection in Vietnam strain of *Artemia*. In this scenario, the objective of the present study was to develop a small nauplii producing *Artemia* by selective breeding. Generation's wise estimation of heritability of naupliar size, life history traits and hatching percentage were also made from the selectively bred *Artemia* and compared with the base generation.

## Materials and Methods

### Collection of Artemia Cysts

*Artemia* cysts collected from the hypersaline habitats of Kelambakam (CKF), Southeast region of the India (12047' N - 800 13' E) during 2008 were brought to the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Cochin laboratory in hypersaline brine filled polyethylene bags. These cysts were cleaned and processed by biphasic flotation method and dried under sun.

### Hatching and Rearing

Base generation of *Artemia* (G0) was developed by hatching the cysts (1gm Lr-1) following the established procedure (Sorgeloos, 1986). Freshly hatched nauplii were harvested and stocked (5 individuals per mL) in cylindrical acrylic tanks containing 35 ppt seawater (10Lr). Optimum temperature of  $25 \pm 10^\circ\text{C}$ , with mild aeration and photoperiod of 12h D: 12h L were maintained in all the three rearing tanks. Microalgae, *Isochrysis galbana* ( $30 \times 10^4$  cells ml<sup>-1</sup>) taken from the microalgae culture facility of Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, India were used as the feed (two times a day) for

rearing *Artemia* daily. *Artemia* tanks were monitored daily and ten percent of the culture medium was exchanged daily using fresh seawater.

### Selective Breeding

Mass selection was carried out following the established method described by Falconer, 1981. Freshly hatched *Artemia* nauplii (about 1.5 lakhs) were used as base generation, and the small individuals (15,000 constituting about 10%) were selected using different mesh filtering unit of 500, 480, 450 and 400 $\mu\text{m}$ . Selected individuals were restocked (1 individual per mL) in to round acrylic tanks (12Lr) containing seawater ( $35 \pm 2\text{‰}$ ) for further rearing. The selected and unselected individuals were reared separately under standard culture conditions described above.

By the age of fourteen days, males and females of both the selected and unselected populations started to form riding pairs. From the selected population, the precopulatory pairs (1,000 pairs) were selectively harvested and stocked (1 precopulatory pairs per 20 mL) in cylindrical acrylic tanks (20L) and reared under uniform conditions.

Riding pairs started to produce first generation progenies (nauplii) from the third day (D3) of stocking. These progenies were collected and restocked in 3Lr plastic containers (2 individuals mL<sup>-1</sup>). Small progenies were selected to produce the next generation (G2). Likewise, progeny collection and selection process was continued up to eighth day (D8). The selected *Artemia* were finally pooled and reared in 50Lr circular acrylic tanks (5 individual per mL). From the offspring produced by G2, small nauplii were further selected as described earlier to produce the next generation (G3). Selection for small size nauplii were carried out for fifteen generations (G1 to G15).

### Recording and Analysis of Nauplii Length, Cyst Biometry and Hatching Percentage

**Nauplii length:** Nauplii length or first day length (FDL) of the generations (G0 to G15) of the selected animals were recorded from the representative samples using a microscope attached with DIGI EYE 330 camera and software (Dewinter Bio-wizard, India) and the mean values were estimated generation wise.

**Cyst biometry:** The selectively bred *Artemia* strain (SBAS) biomass was scaled up in one ton fiber-reinforced plastic tanks (FRP) following the standard culture conditions. Thereafter the salinity of the culture medium was increased slowly (10 ‰ daily -1) to 250 ‰ to induce the oviparous reproduction in the SBAS. The newly formed cysts were collected, cleaned, processed, and dried under the shade and stored (40C) until further analysis. To estimate the cyst biometry, cysts were incubated in fresh water at 280C for 2 h for hydration and fixed with Lugol's Iodine solution to arrest further development and retain its circular shape. The diameter ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) of the 500 representative cyst samples from each location was measured using a microscope attached with DIGI EYE 330 camera with the Dewinter software (Biowizard). Cyst biometry was compared with the reference strains such as *A. franciscana* (SFB), *Artemia salina* (ASL) *Artemia*

tibetiana (TBS), Artemia Vietnam strain (VVC) and also with the native Artemia strains (CKF, GMJ, TTJ, TMM, VDA, and TNM).

#### Hatching Percentage

Hatching percentage of the SBAS were analyzed and compared with the reference Artemia and other indigenous Artemia collected from different hypersaline habitats of India viz., Vedaranyam (VDA), Tuticorin (TTJ), Marakanam (TMM), Tamaraikulam (TNM) and Gujarat (GMJ). Artemia cysts were individually incubated in micro plates (96 well) having seawater (35‰) at room temperature, and kept under light. The plates were observed hourly after 8 hours of incubation for nauplii. Hatching percentage (H %) of the cysts was calculated from the data as follows: hatching % = (number of nauplii hatched /total number of cysts) X 100.

#### Heritability Estimation

Heritability of the selected trait was estimated for each generation. Heritability estimate from the data generated through pair mating. Generation wise heritability estimates were then pooled and mean heritability was estimated.

The variance component analysis was used to estimate sire component of variance, and heritability was estimated from it. The linear statistical model used was

$$Y_{ik} = \mu + P_i + e_{ik}$$

Where,

- $Y_{ik}$  = Observation of the  $k^{\text{th}}$  progeny of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  sire
- $\mu$  = Overall mean
- $P_i$  = Effect of  $i^{\text{th}}$  sire, where  $i= 1, 2, 3, \dots, P$

The degree of freedom (D.F.), sum of squares (SS), mean sum of squares (MS) and expected sum of squares (EMS) used for estimation of heritability are given below:

#### Analysis of variance:

Source of variation	D.F.	SS	MS	EMS
Between pairs	P-1	SSP	MSP	$\sigma^2W + K_1 \sigma^2P$
Between progeny within pairs	n-P	SSW	MSW	$\sigma^2W$

- P = Total number of pairs
- N = Total number of progeny
- K1 = Average number of progeny per sire
- $\sigma^2$  = Pair component of variance
- $\sigma^2W$  = Error variance component

#### Computational Formula:

Sources of variation	Sum of squares	Mean squares
Correction terms (C.T.)	$\sum Y_{...}^2 / n_i$	-----
Between pairs	$\sum Y_{...}^2 - C.T.$ $n_i$	MSP = SSP / S-1

Progeny within pair	$\frac{\sum_i \sum_j Y_{ij}^2 - \sum Y_i^2}{n_i}$	MSW = SSW / n. - P
---------------------	---	--------------------

#### Estimation of variance and heritability:

$$\sigma^2W = MSW$$

$$\sigma^2P = \frac{MSP - MSW}{K_1}$$

$$h^2P = \frac{2\sigma^2P}{\sigma^2P + \sigma^2W}$$

The value of K1 was calculated from the following formula:

$$K_1 = \frac{1}{P-1} \left[ n. - \frac{n_i^2}{n.} \right]$$

Standard error of heritability was calculated as per Swinger et al., (1964) using the following formula.

$$S.E. h^2 = 2\sqrt{\frac{2(n-1)(1-t)^2 [1+K_1-1] t^2}{K_1^2 (n.P) (P-1)}}$$

Where,

't' is interclass correlation

$$t = \frac{\sigma^2_P}{\sigma^2_P + \sigma^2_w}$$

The heritability estimates were pooled over generations, following the procedure of Enfield et al., (1966). The formula for pooling the estimate is as follows:

$$\text{Pooled } h^2 = \frac{\frac{h_0^2}{V_0} + \frac{h_1^2}{V_1} + \frac{h_2^2}{V_2} + \frac{h_3^2}{V_3} + \dots + \frac{h_n^2}{V_n}}{1 + \frac{1}{V_0} + \frac{1}{V_1} + \frac{1}{V_2} + \frac{1}{V_3} + \dots + \frac{1}{V_n}}$$

$$\text{S.E. of Pooled } h^2 = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{V_0} + \frac{1}{V_0} + \frac{1}{V_0} + \frac{1}{V_0} + \dots + \frac{1}{V_0}}$$

Where,

$h_0^2, h_1^2, h_2^2, h_3^2, h_4^2, \dots, h_n^2$  are the heritability of character in the corresponding generation  $G_0, G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4, \dots, G_n$ .

$V_0, V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_n$  are the squares of standard error of corresponding heritability's.

### Selection Differentials

Selection differentials were calculated as the difference between the mean of the selected individuals who has parented the next generation and the mean of the population before selection of the parents (Falconer, 1960). Standardized selection differential was estimated following the method described by Falconer, 1981.

$$\text{Standardized selection differential (i)} = \frac{\text{Selection differential}}{\text{Phenotypic standard deviation}}$$

Predicted response and selection gain in each generation was estimated from the full sib data following the method described by Falconer, (1981).

Predicted genetic response (R) =  $i \sigma_p h^2$

R = Average predicted response per generation

i = Standardized selection differential

$\sigma_p$  = Phenotypic standard deviation of the trait under selection

$h^2$  = Pooled heritability of selected trait

Pooled heritability was used for prediction of response since it is supposed to be more accurate than individual generation estimates (Kinney & Shoffner 1967).

### Statistical Analysis

Generation-wise means of all parameters were examined for significance by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the Duncan multiple tests by SPSS programme 13.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, USA).

## Results

### Phenotypic Parameters

Morphological observations revealed the length of the freshly hatched *Artemia* nauplii within the base generation ( $G_0$ ) ranged from 400.0  $\mu\text{m}$  to 570.0  $\mu\text{m}$  with a mean value of  $517.0 \pm 39.8 \mu\text{m}$  (Table 1).

**Table 1:** Generation wise mean nauplii length ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) in selectively bred *Artemia*.

Generation	Mean nauplii length with SD* ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
G0	517.0 $\pm$ 39.8a
G1	514.6 $\pm$ 20.5ab
G2	504.7 $\pm$ 38.5abc
G3	501.7 $\pm$ 20.3c
G4	491.0 $\pm$ 38.7cd
G5	490.1 $\pm$ 19.4cd
G6	482.5 $\pm$ 23.1de
G7	477.1 $\pm$ 27.1ef
G8	471.4 $\pm$ 27.1efg
G9	464.1 $\pm$ 30.1fgh
G10	463.4 $\pm$ 24.8fgh
G11	459.4 $\pm$ 21.4gh
G12	454.5 $\pm$ 29.1hj
G13	452.2 $\pm$ 25.0hjk
G14	444.4 $\pm$ 31.8jk
G15	439.3 $\pm$ 27.0k

\*SD= Standard Deviation

Values with same superscript are not significantly different at ( $P>0.01$ ).

## Heritability Estimates of Nauplii Length

Heritability estimate of the *Artemia* nauplii (first day length) are presented in Table 2. Heritability estimates of the selected *Artemia* showed generation to generation variations. Heritability was  $0.99 \pm 0.36$  in the base generation while it varied between 0.36 and 1.64 in other generations. Though, the heritability estimates and the standard errors associated with individual generations varied widely the pooled heritability and standard error of the selected trait was  $0.96 \pm 0.01$  (Table 2).

**Table 2:** Heritability estimate and standard error of the *Artemia* nauplii (first day length).

Generation	Heritability	Standard error
G0	0.99	0.36
G1	1.10	0.30
G2	0.73	0.39
G3	1.27	0.24
G4	0.47	0.18
G5	0.98	0.35
G6	1.09	0.28
G7	0.31	0.23
G8	0.36	0.31
G9	1.36	0.24
G10	1.21	0.29
G11	1.15	0.22
G12	1.64	0.21
G13	0.42	0.28
G14	0.53	0.30
G15	1.46	0.19

## Selection Differentials

Mass selection was practiced in the *Artemia* nauplii to bring about change in nauplii size. Selection differentials and standard selection differential are presented in Table.3 . Selection differential in the base generation was -25.97 and it showed variations over the generations. Selection differentials ranged from -33.17 to -8.66  $\mu\text{m}$  in other generations. Lowest selection differential was noticed in G14. Standard selection differential was -0.65 at the base generation and it showed generation wise variations and ranging from -0.95 to -0.27. Phenotypic standard deviation of the different generations is illustrated in Table.3. Base generation showed highest phenotypic standard deviation (39.84  $\mu\text{m}$ ) followed by G4 (38.75  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and G2 (38.59  $\mu\text{m}$ ).

**Table 3:** Selection differential of length ( $\mu\text{m}$ ), Phenotypic standard deviation ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) and Standard Selection differential of the fifteen generations of selected *Artemia*.

Generation	Selection differential ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Phenotypic standard deviation ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Standard Selection differential
G0	-25.97	39.84	-0.65
G1	-33.17	35.52	-0.93
G2	-29.98	38.59	-0.78
G3	-32.54	34.38	-0.95
G4	-24.17	38.75	-0.62
G5	-25.78	27.48	-0.94
G6	-21.26	23.15	-0.92
G7	-16.52	27.19	-0.61
G8	-13.73	27.10	-0.51
G9	-10.19	30.13	-0.34
G10	-11.43	24.86	-0.46
G11	-11.82	21.44	-0.55
G12	-14.19	29.19	-0.49
G13	-14.02	25.09	-0.56
G14	-8.66	31.84	-0.27
G15	NA	27.09	NA

Generation	Selection differential ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Phenotypic standard deviation ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Standard Selection differential
G0	-25.97	39.84	-0.65
G1	-33.17	35.52	-0.93
G2	-29.98	38.59	-0.78
G3	-32.54	34.38	-0.95
G4	-24.17	38.75	-0.62
G5	-25.78	27.48	-0.94
G6	-21.26	23.15	-0.92
G7	-16.52	27.19	-0.61
G8	-13.73	27.10	-0.51
G9	-10.19	30.13	-0.34
G10	-11.43	24.86	-0.46
G11	-11.82	21.44	-0.55
G12	-14.19	29.19	-0.49
G13	-14.02	25.09	-0.56
G14	-8.66	31.84	-0.27
G15	NA	27.09	NA

## Response to Selection

The generation wise mean values of nauplii length with standard deviation are presented in Table.1. The mean nauplii length was  $439.3 \pm 27.0 \mu\text{m}$ , after fifteen generations of selection, as against  $517.0 \pm 39.8 \mu\text{m}$  in the base generation. A gradual decrease in nauplii length was noticed during the selection process. Mean nauplii length was  $514.6 \pm 20.5 \mu\text{m}$  in G1 while it was  $504.7 \pm 38.5 \mu\text{m}$  in G2, likewise reduced in other generations also. Selection gain was  $-2.40 \mu\text{m}$  in G1 while it reduced sharply in G2 to  $-9.86 \mu\text{m}$  maximum selection gain was noticed in G4 ( $-10.70 \mu\text{m}$ ) and minimum was in G10 ( $-0.76 \mu\text{m}$ ). Selection gain in the fifteenth generation (G15) was  $-5.13 \mu\text{m}$ . Cumulative selection gain after the fifteen generations of selection was  $77.67 \mu\text{m}$  Table.4.

**Table 4:** Predicted, realized and cumulative gain ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) in nauplii length of *Artemia* from fifteen generations of selective breeding.

Generation	Predicted gain ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Realized gain ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Cumulative gain ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
G0	-24.93	NA	NA
G1	-31.84	-2.4	-2.4
G2	-28.78	-9.86	-12.26
G3	-31.24	-2.97	-15.23
G4	-23.2	-10.7	-25.93
G5	-24.75	-0.95	-26.89
G6	-20.41	-7.61	-34.49
G7	-15.85	-5.33	-39.82
G8	-13.18	-5.78	-45.6
G9	-9.79	-7.21	-52.81

G10	-10.97	-0.76	-53.57
G11	-11.35	-3.94	-57.51
G12	-13.63	-4.96	-62.47
G13	-13.46	-2.31	-64.78
G14	-8.31	-7.76	-72.54
G15	NA	-5.13	-77.67

## Correlated Response

**Cyst size:** A reduction in cyst size was realized in the selectively bred *Artemia* strain (SBAS) as a correlated response. After 15 generations of selection the cyst size in the selected line got reduced to  $212.5 \pm 9.4 \mu\text{m}$  from  $224.83 \pm 14.81 \mu\text{m}$  in the base generation. It was also smaller than reference strains VVC ( $218.26 \pm 10.84 \mu\text{m}$ ), SFB ( $222.0 \pm 14.5 \mu\text{m}$ ), ASL ( $261.7 \pm 17.4 \mu\text{m}$ ) and TBS ( $319.4 \pm 24.7 \mu\text{m}$ ) as well as the other indigenous strains studied ( $236.4$  to  $219.6 \mu\text{m}$ ) (Table.5.). Duncan's multiple range test categorized *Artemia* strains based on the cyst size into eight different homogeneous subsets. SBAS formed the first sole group with lowest cyst size, while the reference VVC and SFB strains and the native TMM strains formed the second group with marginally higher cyst size ( $218$  to  $221 \mu\text{m}$ ). Other native strains viz, VDA and TNM, and exotic species like ASL and TBS which possessed significantly higher cyst sizes ( $231.56$ ,  $236.38$ ,  $261.72$  and  $319.39$  respectively) formed different subsets (Table 5).

**Table 5:** Mean cyst diameter of the base, selectively bred *Artemia* strains (SBAS) and the different reference *Artemia* strains.

Artemia strain	Mean with SD1 ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	SE 2
Base generation3	$224.83 \pm 14.81\text{a}$	1.3
SBAS4	$212.49 \pm 9.418\text{d}$	1.41
TTJ5	$223.35 \pm 11.57\text{ca}$	0.85
TMM6	$219.63 \pm 10.99\text{bc}$	1.54
VDA7	$231.56 \pm 15.61\text{e}$	1.17
TNM9	$236.37 \pm 19.00\text{f}$	2.03
GMJ10	$226.16 \pm 14.01\text{a}$	1.42
VVC8	$218.26 \pm 10.84\text{b}$	1.16
ASL11	$261.72 \pm 17.35\text{g}$	1.66
TBS12	$319.39 \pm 24.74\text{h}$	2.41
SFB13	$221.95 \pm 14.45\text{bca}$	1.46

1SD: Standard Deviation, 2SE: Standard Error, 3CKF/ Base strain: Kelambakam, 4SBAS: Selectively Bred *Artemia* Strain, 5TTJ: Tuticorin, 6TMM: Marakanam, 7VDA: Vedaranyam, 8VVC: *Artemia* Vietnam strain, 9TNM: Tamarikulamfigures, 10GMJ: Mithapur- Gujrat, 11ASL: *Artemia salina*, 12TBS: *Artemia tibetiana* and 13SFB: *A. franciscana*. Values with same superscript are not significantly different at ( $P>0.01$ ).

## Hatching Percentage

Selective breeding for nauplii size reduction resulted in a correlated increase in the hatching percentage also. At the end of 15 generations of selection the hatching percentage in the selectively bred strain was 64.58% as against 54.4% in the base generation which is collected from wild (Figure 1). It was higher than other indigenous strains except GMJ (84.52%) and exotic strains except SFB (72.22%).

## Discussion

The genetic studies in *Artemia* are limited to cytogenetics, genetic diversity, molecular taxonomy, phylogenetic analysis, etc. There is an apparent knowledge gap in quantitative genetics of the *Artemia* since only few attempts to study quantitative genetic parameters and quantitative genetic manipulations have been made hitherto.

In the present study, 14.9 per cent reduction in the nauplii length was realized from fifteen generations of selective breeding for size reduction in the indigenous *Artemia*. Concurrently, 5 per cent reduction in the cyst size and 10% increase in hatching percentage were also realized as correlated response in the selectively bred *Artemia* strain.

The nauplii length in the SBAS could be brought down to  $439.3 \pm 27.0 \mu\text{m}$  from  $517.0 \pm 39.8 \mu\text{m}$  in the base generation through fifteen generation of mass selection (Figure 2). Size-wise, it is smaller than the nauplii of the commercial strain of *Artemia franciscana* ( $502.6 \pm 97.13$ ) hatched along with the selected strain, and nauplii size reported for *A. franciscana* ( $487.07 \mu\text{m}$  and  $490.67 \mu\text{m}$ ) by Shirdhankar et al., (2004). Needless to say it is smaller than the nauplii size reported for various *Artemia* species globally viz., *Artemia franciscana*, Greek *Artemia* sp. ( $507.4$  to  $455.0 \mu\text{m}$ ) (Moraiti-Ioannido et al., 2007), *Artemia urmiana* ( $466.3$  to  $505.0 \mu\text{m}$ ) (Abatzopoulos et al., 2006), Spanish Lamata *Artemia* ( $469.2 \mu\text{m}$ ) (Hontoria, 1990), Italian Margherita di Savoia *Artemia* ( $517.0 \mu\text{m}$ ) (Vanhaecke and Sorgeloos 1980), Portugal Samouco *Artemia* ( $503.5 \mu\text{m}$ ) (Vilela et al., 1987) and *Artemia tibetiana* strain ( $667.0 \mu\text{m}$ ) (Abatzopoulos et al., 1998). The Italian Tore Colimena strain ( $422.7 \mu\text{m}$ ) has the smallest nauplii length (Stappen, 2005).

Correlated response by way of reduction in the cyst size and increase in hatching percentage were also realized in the selectively bred *Artemia* strain. Selection has resulted in 5% ( $-12.34 \mu\text{m}$ ) reduction in cyst size than that of base generation. SBAS cyst was found to be smaller than that of various *Artemia* strains reported worldwide viz., *A. urmiana* ( $262.7$  to  $286.6 \mu\text{m}$ ) (Abatzopoulos et al., 2006), Sri Lankan *Artemia* sp. ( $248.7$  to  $267.9 \mu\text{m}$ ) (Kuruppu et al., 1995), Algerian *Artemia* sp. ( $236.0 \mu\text{m}$ ) (Kara et al., 2004) and *A. parthanogenetica* ( $260.0$ ,  $244.9 \mu\text{m}$ ) (Hontoria, 1990; John et al., 2004). The reduction gained in cyst size and nauplii length after fifteen generations of selection indicates the efficiency of selection. Phenotypic differences observed among the offspring were due to genetic differences among parents pursuant to selection because all were cultured under the same environmental conditions. Size reduction following the selective breeding is brought about through changes in gene frequencies at loci that influence the selected

character (Falconer, 1981). Selection induces a change in gene frequency by separating the individuals into large and small groups with a difference in gene frequencies (Liang et al., 2010), from which the small groups were selected for developing the SBAS.

### Heritability of the Selected Trait

Trait under selection was nauplii size and the full-sib values of nauplii length were used to estimate the heritability. Higher heritability ( $h^2$ ) estimates were obvious in the different generations of *Artemia* subjected to selection in the present study. Heritability estimated from the full sibs produced from pair mating is the heritability in the broad sense and it represents the ratio of the total genetic variance to the total phenotypic variance. The total genetic variance includes additive genetic variance, variance due to dominance deviation and epistatic interaction (Shirdhankar, 1999), and hence are of higher magnitude than the estimate from half-sib data. Lester (1988) have reported that the maternal effects and genetic difference among families may also result in an inflated value for heritability estimated from full sib data resulting from pair mating.

Though the pooled  $h^2$  estimates for FDL in the SBAS was high ( $0.96 \pm 0.01$ ), it was lower than the  $h^2$  estimates of *A. franciscana* strain ( $1.33 \pm 0.04$ ,  $1.4 \pm .04$  and  $1.3 \pm .04$ ) as reported by Shirdhankar & Thomas (2003). High heritability estimates values observed in full sibs from sire dam pair mating may be due to a substantial quantum of dominance deviation and / or epistatic interaction and maternal effects in the population under study as suggested by Shirdhankar, (1999) and Lester (1988). Briski et al., (2008) observed wide variations in  $h^2$  values in the *Artemia* sp. subjected to selection (0.11 to 0.95). Reports of  $h^2$  estimate beyond the normal theoretical limits in several species are not uncommon in the literature (McLaren et al., 1978; Durborow et al., 1985). Lester, (1988) suggested that the maternal effects and genetic differences among the families can result in inflated  $h^2$  estimates from full sib data as evidenced in the early growth phase of *Penaeus stylirostris* ( $1.31 \pm 0.62$  to  $0.64 \pm 0.58$ ). Falconer (1955) has observed that variations in the  $h^2$  often occur in generations, but they do not follow any particular trend as reported earlier. According to Wickins (1981), ectotherms aquatic animals generally lack sophisticated endogenous homeostasis mechanisms like mammals and birds possess, and because of this environment holds profound effect on phenotypic expression of the individual's genotype.

The  $h^2$  estimates of the FDL from the present work clearly indicate that the genetic effect on the nauplii length of *Artemia* sp profound. A substantial portion of the variance in the population is due to additive genetic variance, which is reflected in the cumulative selection gain of the mass selection programme. However, the higher  $h^2$  values indicate that non-additive genetic factors are also contributing substantially to the total genetic variance.

Hatching percentage is an important quality indicator which decides the potential of the *Artemia* strain for aquaculture application. The present study shows that the cyst hatching

percentage has significantly improved after the selection (10% increase) when compared to the base generation. The SBAS had higher hatching percentage (64%) than the unselected indigenous strains studied (45 to 55%), except the GMJ strain and exotic SFB strain of *A. franciscana*.

Nauplii/cyst size, hatching efficiency and nutrient profile are considered as the prime indicators that determine the quality of the *Artemia* strains for larviculture. The selectively bred *Artemia* strain developed from the present study fulfils all the above requirements making it a promising candidate strain for larviculture activities.

### Conclusion

Present study reports the reduction in nauplii length by 14.9% as the direct response to fifteen generations of mass selection for nauplii size reduction in indigenous *Artemia*. Reduction of cyst size (5%) and increase in the hatching percentage (10 %) were also realized as correlated response. The selectively bred *Artemia* strain developed from the present work has small sized nauplii and cyst size and high hatching efficiency making it a promising candidate strain for larviculture. Further studies are required to validate the performance of the strain in field conditions.

### References

1. Abatzopoulos TJ, Baxevanis AD, Triantaphyllidis GV, Criel G Pador EL, Stappen GV Sorgeloos P (2006) Quality evaluation of *Artemia urmiana* Günther (Urmia Lake Iran) with special emphasis on its particular cyst characteristics (International Study on *Artemia* LXIX). *Aquaculture* 254: 442 – 454.
2. Abatzopoulos TJ, Zhang BO (1998) *Artemia tibetiana*: preliminary characterization of a new *Artemia* species found in Tibet (People's Republic of China) International Study on *Artemia* LIX. *International Journal of Salt Lake Research* 41-44.
3. Baskerville-Bridges B, Kling LJ (2000) Early weaning of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) larvae onto a microparticulate diet. *Aquaculture* 189: 109–117.
4. Briski E, Stappen GV, Bossier P, Sorgeloos P (2008) Laboratory production of early hatching *Artemia* sp cysts by selection. *Aquaculture* 282: 19-25.
5. Clegg JS, Trotman CAN (2002) Physiological and biochemical aspects of *Artemia* ecology; In *Artemia: Basic and applied biology*. In: Abatzopoulos Th J, Beardmore JA. Clegg JS Sorgeloos P, editors. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers 129–170.
6. Clegg JS, Jackson SA, Hoa NG, Sorgeloos P (2000) Thermal resistance developmental rate and heat shock proteins in *Artemia franciscana* from San Francisco Bay and southern Vietnam. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 252: 85–96.
7. Clegg JS, Trotman CAN (2002) Physiological and biochemical aspects of *Artemia* ecology. In: Abatzopoulos Th J, Beardmore JA, Clegg JS, Sorgeloos P, editors. *Biology of Aquatic organisms Artemia: basic and applied biology*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Dordrecht The Netherlands 129–170.
8. de Barros HP, Valenti WC (2003) Food intake of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* during larval development *Aquaculture* 216(1–4): 165–176.

9. Durborow RM, Avault JW, Jr Johnson WA, Koone KL (1985) Differences in mortality among full sib channel catfish. *Progressive Fish-Culturist* 47: 169-175
10. Enfield FDRE, Comstock RE, Braskerud O (1966) Selection for pupa weight in *Tribolium castaneum* I Parameters in Base Populations *Genetics* 54(2): 523-533.
11. Falconer DS (1955) Patterns of response in selection experiments with mice. *Cold Spring Harbour Symposium on Quantitative Biology* 178-196.
12. Falconer DS (1960) *Introduction to quantitative genetics*. Oliver and Boyd Ltd Edinburgh London.
13. Falconer DS (1981) *Introduction to Quantitative Genetics* 2nd edn Longman NewYork 340.
14. FAO (2010) *The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2010. World review of fisheries and Aquaculture*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome 6pp.
15. Hontoria F (1990) *Caracterizacio a ´rea levantina espan toral Thesis Universidad Auto ´ola del crusta´n de tres poblaciones originarias del ´podo Artemia Doc´ceo branquio ´noma de Barcelona* 326.
16. John CJA, Abatzopoulos TJ, Marian PM (2004) Characterization of a new parthenogenetic *Artemia* population from Thamaraiikulam. *Indian journal of biological research* 2: 63-74.
17. Kamarudin MS, Jones DA, Le Vay L, Abidin AZ (1994) Ontogenetic change indigestive enzyme activity during larval development of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *Aquaculture* 123: 323-333.
18. Kara MH, Bengraine KA, Derbal F, Chaoui L, Amarouyache M (2004) Quality evaluation of a new strain of *Artemia* from Chott Marouane (Northeast Algeria). *Aquaculture* 235: 361-369.
19. Kinney TB, Shoffner RN (1967) Phenotypic and genetic responses to selection in meat type poultry population. *Poultry Science* 45: 900-910.
20. Kuruppu MM, Ekaratne SUK (1995) Ecology and population structure of the *Artemia parthenogenetica* population inhabiting a major saltern in Srilanka. *International Journal of Salt Lake Research* 4: 117-131.
21. Lester LJ (1988) Differences in larval growth among families of *Penaeus stylirostris* Stimpson and *P vannamei* Boone. *Aquaculture Research* 19: 243-251.
22. Lush JL (1940) Intra-sire correlations or regressions of offspring on dam as a method of estimating heritability of characteristics. *Proceedings of the American Society of Animal Production* 293-301.
23. Marshall R, McKinley S, Pearce CM (2010) Effects of nutrition on larval growth and survival in bivalves. *Reviews in Aquaculture* 2(1) 33-55
24. McLaren I, Corkett C (1978) Unusual genetic variation in body size development times oil storage and survivorship in the marine copepod *Pseudocalanus* *Biol. Bull* 155347-359.